

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 26-12742
Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ALEXANDER ROS LAZO,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 1:18-cr-20536-JEM-1

Before ROSENBAUM, LAGOA, and ABUDU, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Alexander Ros Lazo, proceeding pro se, appeals the district court's final order of forfeiture following his conviction and sentence.

Lazo lacks standing to challenge the post-judgment final order of forfeiture because the district court's preliminary order of forfeiture extinguished his interest in the forfeited property. See *Christian Coal. of Fla., Inc. v. United States*, 662 F.3d 1182, 1189 (11th Cir. 2011) (explaining that, under Article III of the Constitution, our jurisdiction is limited to "cases" and "controversies," which require, inter alia, standing); *United States v. Flanders*, 752 F.3d 1317, 1343 (11th Cir. 2014) (explaining that a defendant has no right to appeal from a final order of forfeiture, which is entered after the district court has had an opportunity to adjudicate the rights of third parties, because the order "has no bearing on the defendant's rights"); *United States v. Amodeo*, 916 F.3d 967, 972 (11th Cir. 2019) (explaining that a preliminary forfeiture order extinguishes all the defendant's interests in the property).

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction.