

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 26-11245
Non-Argument Calendar

KIMBERLY JONES,
CLAYTON THOMASON,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

versus

CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES, LLC,
GREAT AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,
MOTES INSURANCE,
ASSOCIATED ADJUSTERS NETWORK,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-01224-CLM

Before BRANCH, LUCK, and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

After this action was transferred from the Middle District of Alabama to the Northern District of Alabama, Kimberly Jones and Clayton Thomason (“Plaintiffs”), proceeding pro se, moved to re-transfer it back to the Middle District. The district court entered an order denying that motion. The district court then entered an order denying Plaintiffs’ motion, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e), to alter or amend the earlier order and to stay response deadlines pending the court’s resolution of the Rule 59(e) motion. Plaintiffs appealed both orders.

The appealed orders are not final because they did not resolve any claims, much less end the litigation on the merits, and Plaintiffs’ amended complaint remains pending. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291; *CSX Transp., Inc. v. City of Garden City*, 235 F.3d 1325, 1327 (11th Cir. 2000) (explaining that a final decision ends the litigation on the merits and leaves nothing for the district court to do but execute its judgment); see also *Lavigne v. Herbalife, Ltd.*, 967 F.3d 1110, 1120 (11th Cir. 2020) (noting that, absent a final judgment, “the denial of a motion to transfer venue is normally a non-appealable order”).

Further, the appealed orders are not immediately appealable under the collateral order doctrine, because the orders are effectively reviewable on appeal from a final judgment. *Plaintiff A v. Schair*, 744 F.3d 1247, 1252-53 (11th Cir. 2014) (explaining that, among other requirements, an order must be effectively unreviewable on appeal from a final judgment to be appealable under the

26-11245

Opinion of the Court

3

collateral order doctrine). *Roofing & Sheet Metal Servs., Inc. v. La Quinta Motor Inns, Inc.*, 689 F.2d 982, 988 (11th Cir. 1982) (explaining that appellate courts may review the district court's transfer denial on appeal from a final judgment).

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction. All pending motions are DENIED as moot.