

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-14419
Non-Argument Calendar

EASTWOOD VILLAGE,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

LARRY WILLIAMS,

Defendant-Appellant,

AND ALL OTHERS,

Defendant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-06894-ELR

Before JORDAN, NEWSOM, and KIDD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Larry Williams, pro se, appeals from the district court’s December 4, 2025, order remanding the case to Georgia state court. Premised on Williams seeking review of the underlying state court judgment, Appellee Eastwood Village moves to dismiss his appeal, arguing that we lack subject matter jurisdiction over the judgment. Williams responds that we have jurisdiction over his appeal because he raised federal claims in his merits brief.

We conclude that we lack jurisdiction over Williams’s appeal, albeit for a different reason than Appellee argues in its motion. Because the order remanded the case for lack of federal subject matter jurisdiction, it is unreviewable on appeal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), (d); *New v. Sports & Recreation, Inc.*, 114 F.3d 1092, 1095-96 (11th Cir. 1997) (concluding that a remand order based on lack of subject matter jurisdiction is unreviewable even when “clearly erroneous”); *Kircher v. Putnam Funds Tr.*, 547 U.S. 633, 641-42 (2006) (noting that a remand order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c) is unreviewable “no matter how plain the legal error in ordering the remand”). Further, the notice of removal did not cite 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442 or 1443 as a basis for removal.

Accordingly, Appellee’s motion to dismiss is GRANTED and this appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.