

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-14288
Non-Argument Calendar

DERREMY JERRELL WALKER,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Florida
D.C. Docket Nos. 6:23-cv-01379-WWB-UAM,
6:21-cr-00020-WWB-UAM-1

Before JILL PRYOR, GRANT, and BRASHER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Derremy Jerrell Walker, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, appeals from the district court's February 28, 2025, judgment

denying his motion to vacate sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Walker's notice of appeal, which he signed on November 26, 2025, is untimely. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2107(b) (providing that, in a civil case in which there is a federal party, a notice of appeal must be filed within 60 days of the appealed order or judgment); Fed. R. App. P. 4(c) (describing the prison mailbox rule); *Jeffries v. United States*, 748 F.3d 1310, 1314 (11th Cir. 2014).

Further, although Walker moved the district court for a time extension, that motion was denied by the district court and, in any event, was untimely to obtain relief under Rule 4(a)(5). *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5)(A) (providing that such motions must be filed within 30 days of expiration of the appeal period); 28 U.S.C. § 2107(c) (same). Finally, Walker did not move for relief under Rule 4(a)(6), and the record does not reflect any basis for such relief. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6); *Sanders v. United States*, 113 F.3d 184, 187 (11th Cir. 1997). Because Walker's notice of appeal is untimely, we lack jurisdiction over this appeal. *See Green v. Drug Enf't Admin.*, 606 F.3d 1296, 1300-01 (11th Cir. 2010) (explaining that, in a civil case, the timely filing of a notice of appeal is a jurisdictional requirement).

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction. All pending motions are DENIED as moot.