

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-13796
Non-Argument Calendar

ARACELI ROMAN-CONDE,

Petitioner,

versus

U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondent.

Petition for Review of a Decision of the
Board of Immigration Appeals
Agency No. A077-787-711

Before JILL PRYOR, LAGOA, and ABUDU, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Araceli Roman-Conde, pro se, petitions for review of the immigration judge's ("IJ") order affirming an asylum officer's negative reasonable fear determination. Roman-Conde, a native and citizen

of Mexico, was originally ordered removed in 1999. After that order was reinstated in 2019, Roman-Conde expressed fear of removing to Mexico, and an asylum officer conducted a reasonable fear interview. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 241.8(a), (e). The officer issued a negative reasonable fear determination, which the IJ affirmed in an order issued on September 29, 2025. Roman-Conde now petitions us for review of that order. The government moves to dismiss the petition for lack of jurisdiction.¹

We lack jurisdiction to review Roman-Conde’s petition. Although the petition facially designates both the reinstated order of removal and the IJ’s order affirming the reasonable fear determination, Roman-Conde stated in her response to the government’s motion that she is seeking review of only “the September 29, 2025, Immigration Judge Decision, not the Reinstatement Order issued in 2019.” Further, none of Roman-Conde’s arguments in her petition relate to the underlying order of removal. Because Roman-Conde has made clear that she is not challenging her final order of removal, we lack jurisdiction over her petition and cannot independently review the September 29 order. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(1) (providing us with jurisdiction to review “final order[s] of removal”); *Hayles v. U.S. Att’y Gen.*, --- F.4th ---, No. 24-10516, slip op. at 7-12 (11th Cir. June 22, 2026) (dismissing for lack of juris-

¹ Roman-Conde’s motion to file out-of-time her response to the government’s motion is GRANTED.

25-13796

Opinion of the Court

3

diction a petition challenging the denial of relief under the Convention Against Torture because the petitioner did not also challenge his final order of removal).

Accordingly, the government's motion to dismiss is GRANTED, and the petition is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.