

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 25-13610  
Non-Argument Calendar

---

CYNTHIA SANDERS-BEY,  
FARRAND CLARKE-EL,

*Plaintiffs-Appellants,*

*versus*

U.S. BANK TRUST COMPANY,  
NEW REZ/PHH MORTGAGE COMPANY,  
JACQUELINE MICHAELSON,  
    Manager Coordinator,  
IVY TAUB,  
WENDY MANSWELL, et al.,

*Defendants-Appellees.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Florida  
D.C. Docket No. 0:25-cv-61615-PMH

---

Before BRANCH, LUCK, and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction. Cynthia Sanders-Bey and Farrand Clarke-El, pro se, appeal from the district court's order remanding a foreclosure action to state court.

The order is not appealable because the court remanded the action based on a lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), (d) (providing that remands for lack of subject matter jurisdiction are not reviewable on appeal). And the appellants did not remove the action under either limited, statutory basis that would permit appellate review. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1442 (providing for removal by federal officers and agencies); 28 U.S.C. § 1443 (providing for removal in cases implicating certain civil rights); *BP P.L.C. v. Mayor of Balt.*, 593 U.S. 230, 238-39 (2021) (explaining that a remand order is reviewable on appeal if the notice of removal states that removal is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1442 or § 1443).

All pending motions are DENIED as moot.