

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-12932
Non-Argument Calendar

LEONARDO CRESPO,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

TESLA, INC.,
a foreign corporation,
TESLA FLORIDA, INC.,
a Florida corporation,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 9:25-cv-80129-DMM

Before JILL PRYOR, LAGOA, and ABUDU, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction. Leonardo Crespo, pro se, appeals from the district court's order denying his motion to enforce the defendants' demand for a jury trial. While this appeal was pending, the district court entered final judgment.

The appealed order is not final because it did not end the litigation on the merits. 28 U.S.C. § 1291; *CSX Transp., Inc. v. City of Garden City*, 235 F.3d 1325, 1327 (11th Cir. 2000) (explaining that a final judgment leaves nothing for the district court to do but execute the judgment). Crespo's amended complaint remained pending when the order was entered. And the order is not otherwise immediately appealable. See *Howard v. Parisian, Inc.*, 807 F.2d 1560, 1566-67 (11th Cir. 1987) (holding that an interlocutory order denying a jury trial in a civil action is not reviewable under the collateral order doctrine). Nor does the subsequent entry of final judgment cure Crespo's premature appeal. See *Robinson v. Tanner*, 798 F.2d 1378, 1382-83 (11th Cir. 1986) (explaining that a subsequent final judgment cures a premature appeal only when the appeal is from an otherwise final order dismissing a claim or party).

All pending motions are DENIED as moot.