

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 25-12731  
Non-Argument Calendar

---

FELISSA GRISSETT,

*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

*versus*

ARMY,  
UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES,  
DEPART OF JUSTICE,  
DEPART OF DEFENSE,  
ARMY BOARD OF MILITARY CORRECTION, et al.,

*Defendants-Appellees.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Georgia  
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-mi-00056-MHC

---

Before BRANCH, LUCK, and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:

This appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction. The day after filing the complaint that initiated this action, Felissa Grissett, pro se, filed a notice of appeal. The district court had not yet entered any order. The notice appears to complain of the assigned district judge and the fact that the action was designated on the court's docket as "miscellaneous" rather than "civil." The court later entered an order dismissing the action as frivolous.

We lack jurisdiction over this appeal because the notice of appeal was filed before the district court entered or announced any order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (providing that appellate jurisdiction is generally limited to final decisions of the district courts); Fed. R. App. P. 3(c)(1)(B) (providing that a notice of appeal must designate the judgment or appealable order from which the appeal is taken); *Bogle v. Orange Cnty. Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs*, 162 F.3d 653, 661 (11th Cir. 1998) (explaining that a notice of appeal is ineffective to appeal a contemplated future order or judgment that does not exist at the time the notice of appeal is filed, unless the decision or order was already announced).

All pending motions are DENIED as moot.