USCA11 Case: 25-12649 Document: 9-1 Date Filed: 08/14/2025 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the

United States Court of Appeals

For the Fleventh Circuit

No. 25-12649

Non-Argument Calendar

FELISSA GRISSETT,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ADVENTURES OUTDOORS,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court

for the Northern District of Georgia

D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-04256-MHC

Opinion of the Court

25-12649

Before Branch, Grant, and Lagoa, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

2

This appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. The day after filing the complaint that initiated this action, Felissa Grissett, *pro se*, filed a notice of appeal. The district court had not yet entered any order. The notice appears to complain of the assigned district judge and of Grissett's lack of e-filing access. The court later entered an order and judgment dismissing the action.

We lack jurisdiction over this appeal because the notice of appeal was filed before the district court entered or announced the final order and judgment. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (providing that appellate jurisdiction is generally limited to final decisions of the district courts); Fed. R. App. P. 3(c)(1)(B) (providing that a notice of appeal must designate the judgment or appealable order from which the appeal is taken); Bogle v. Orange Cnty. Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs, 162 F.3d 653, 661 (11th Cir. 1998) (explaining that a notice of appeal is ineffective to appeal a contemplated future order or judgment that does not exist at the time the notice of appeal is filed, unless the decision or order was already announced).

All pending motions are DENIED as moot.