

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 25-12534  
Non-Argument Calendar

---

BERNADETTE DENISE DICKERSON,

*Plaintiff-Appellant,*

*versus*

KOCH FOODS, LLC,  
KOCH FOODS OF ALABAMA, LLC,

*Defendants-Appellees.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Alabama  
D.C. Docket No. 2:20-cv-00163-ECM-KFP

---

Before NEWSOM, GRANT, and BRASHER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This is pro se plaintiff Bernadette Dickerson’s fourth appeal in this case.<sup>1</sup> Most recently, we affirmed the district court’s denial of her Rule 60 motion on the grounds that she did not carry her burden of proving fraud on the court by clear and convincing evidence. See *Dickerson v. Koch Foods, LLC*, No. 24-12225, 2025 WL 1386351, at \*1 (11th Cir. May 14, 2025). In fact, she provided “absolutely no proof” to support her “vague and unsubstantiated claim” of fraud. *Id.* at \*1–2.

After that appeal, Dickerson filed five more motions in the district court to attack the final judgment, raising the same vague and unsubstantiated allegations of fraud as before. She brings this appeal to challenge the district court’s denial of her Rule 60 motion. Not only are we bound by the law of the case doctrine, her motion is patently frivolous. See *Joshi v. Fla. State Univ. Health Ctr.*, 763 F.2d 1227, 1231 (11th Cir. 1985). We **AFFIRM**.

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Dickerson v. Koch Foods, LLC*, No. 22-12434, 2023 WL 5348897 (11th Cir. Aug. 21, 2023); No. 24-12226, 2024 WL 4524739 (11th Cir. Aug. 27, 2024); No. 24-12225, 2025 WL 1386351 (11th Cir. May 14, 2025).