

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-12514
Non-Argument Calendar

PASSCO CADENCE DST,
d.b.a.Cadence Sugar Hill,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

FRED PALMER,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-03762-SDG

Before JORDAN, NEWSOM, and LUCK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Fred Palmer, proceeding pro se, appeals from the district court's July 14, 2025, order remanding his case to Georgia state

court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. We lack jurisdiction to review that order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c)-(d); *Whole Health Chiropractic & Wellness, Inc. v. Humana Med. Plan, Inc.*, 254 F.3d 1317, 1319 (11th Cir. 2001) (explaining that remand orders for which our review is barred include those based on lack of subject matter jurisdiction); *New v. Sports & Recreation*, 114 F.3d 1092, 1096 (11th Cir. 1997) (stating that “a district court does not have to expressly state its reliance on section 1447(c) to preclude appellate review”). Palmer did not remove the case under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442 or 1443, so no exception applies. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d); *BP P.L.C. v. Mayor & City Council of Balt.*, 593 U.S. 230, 238 (2021).

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction.