[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the

United States Court of Appeals

For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-12285

Non-Argument Calendar

KENYATTA RILEY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

MAXIMUS / AIDVANTAGE,
TRANSUNION, LLC.,
EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS INC.,
EQUIFAX,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:24-cv-02338-LMM

Before JORDAN, BRANCH, and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Kenyatta Riley, proceeding *pro se*, filed a notice of appeal that we liberally construe as challenging the magistrate judge's June 27, 2025 order and final report and recommendation, which recommended dismissing Riley's claims and stayed discovery deadlines while the recommendation was pending. *See KH Outdoor, LLC v. City of Trussville*, 465 F.3d 1256, 1260 (11th Cir. 2006) ("[I]n this circuit, it is well settled that an appeal is not lost if a mistake is made in designating the judgment appealed from where it is clear that the overriding intent was effectively to appeal." (quotation marks omitted)); *Campbell v. Air Jam. Ltd.*, 760 F.3d 1165, 1168 (11th Cir. 2014) (explaining that we liberally construe *pro se* filings).

A magistrate judge's recommendation on a dispositive matter that has not been adopted or otherwise rendered final by the district court at the time the notice of appeal is filed is not final and appealable. *See Perez-Priego v. Alachua Cnty. Clerk of Ct.*, 148 F.3d 1272, 1273 (11th Cir. 1998); 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1), 1291. The district court's subsequent adoption of the report and recommendation did not cure Riley's premature notice of appeal. *See*

Perez-Priego, 148 F.3d at 1273. Additionally, we lack jurisdiction over the magistrate judge's ruling that stayed discovery deadlines because it is not final or otherwise appealable. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (providing that appellate jurisdiction is generally limited to "final decisions of the district courts"); *CSX Transp., Inc. v. City of Garden City*, 235 F.3d 1325, 1327 (11th Cir. 2000) ("A final decision is one which ends the litigation on the merits and leaves nothing for the court to do but execute the judgment." (quotation marks omitted)); *Am. Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co. v. Stone*, 743 F.2d 1519, 1522-23 (11th Cir. 1984) (holding that a stay order is generally not final under § 1291 for purposes of appeal).

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction.