

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 25-11988

Non-Argument Calendar

---

HIRE ANWAR LLC,  
ANWAR PATTERSON,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

*versus*

KELLY BUTLER,

Defendant- Appellant,

RASHEEM BUTLER,

Defendant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Georgia  
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-03004-AT

---

Before JILL PRYOR, LAGOA, and KIDD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Kelly Butler, proceeding *pro se*, appeals the district court's order remanding her dispossession action to state court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. That order, however, is unreviewable on appeal. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), (d); *New v. Sports & Recreation*, 114 F.3d 1092, 1096 (11th Cir. 1997) ("Cases remanded for lack of jurisdiction are immune from review even if the district court's decision is clearly erroneous."). Further, Butler did not invoke 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442 or 1443 as the basis for the removal. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d); *BP P.L.C. v. Mayor of Balt.*, 593 U.S. 230, 238-39 (2021) (concluding that, because the defendants cited § 1442 in their notice of removal, the whole of the district court's remand order was reviewable on appeal).

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction.