

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-11044

Non-Argument Calendar

JOHN T. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

RICHARD SULLIVAN,
Former U.S. District Judge, Now U.S. Circuit Judge,
UNKNOWN U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE AGENTS,
UNKNOWN FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,
(FBI) AGENTS,
UNKNOWN U.S. ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS,
UNKNOWN EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR,
ASSET FORFEITURE (EOAF) OFFICIALS, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:25-cv-01319-MHC

Before ROSENBAUM, ABUDU, and KIDD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. John Williams, proceedings *pro se*, appeals from the magistrate judge's March 17, 2025, order, which granted Williams leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and recommended that his complaint be dismissed as frivolous and that the filing injunction against him be expanded.

A magistrate judge's recommendation on a dispositive matter that has not been adopted or otherwise rendered final by the district court at the time the notice of appeal is filed is not final and appealable. *See Perez-Priego v. Alachua Cnty. Clerk of Ct.*, 148 F.3d 1272, 1273 (11th Cir. 1998); 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1), 1291. The district court's subsequent adoption of the recommendation did not cure Williams's premature notice of appeal. *See Perez-Priego*, 148 F.3d at 1273. Additionally, Williams lacks standing to challenge the magistrate judge's grant of his application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* because that ruling did not injure him in any way.

25-11044

Opinion of the Court

3

See Wolff v. Cash 4 Titles, 351 F.3d 1348, 1353-54 (11th Cir. 2003); *Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co. v. Barrow*, 29 F.4th 1299, 1301 (11th Cir. 2022).

No petition for rehearing may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R. 40-3 and all other applicable rules.