

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 25-10880

Non-Argument Calendar

TROY MARKEITH GRIFFIN,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 8:24-cv-00982-MSS-AEP

Before JORDAN, BRANCH, and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Troy Griffin appeals from the district court's March 5, 2025, order denying three motions Griffin filed in his pending 28 U.S.C. § 2255 proceedings. Those motions repeated claims in Griffin's § 2255 motion and sought an emergency ruling on the § 2255 motion.

The March 5 order is not final and appealable because it did not end the litigation on the merits. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291; *Acheron Cap., Ltd. v. Mukamal*, 22 F.4th 979, 986 (11th Cir. 2022) (stating that an appealable final order ends the litigation on the merits and leaves nothing for the court to do but execute its judgment). The district court has not issued any final decision on the merits, and Griffin's § 2255 motion remains pending. *See Supreme Fuels Trading FZE v. Sargeant*, 689 F.3d 1244, 1246 (11th Cir. 2012) (noting that an order that disposes of fewer than all claims against all parties to an action is not immediately appealable absent certification pursuant to Rule 54(b)). Nor is the district court's order effectively unreviewable on appeal from a final order resolving the case on the merits. *See Plaintiff A v. Schair*, 744 F.3d 1247, 1252-53 (11th Cir. 2014) (explaining that a ruling that does not conclude the litigation may be appealed under the collateral order doctrine if it, *inter alia*, is "effectively unreviewable on appeal from a final judgment").

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. No petition for rehearing may be filed unless it

25-10880

Opinion of the Court

3

complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R.
40-3 and all other applicable rules.