

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 24-13667
Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

DURRELL MARQUIS LEE,
a.k.a. ID,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 4:23-cr-00035-MW-MAF-1

No. 24-13716
Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

2

Opinion of the Court

24-13667

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

DURRELL LEE,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 4:15-cr-00038-MW-MAF-1

Before JILL PRYOR, BRASHER, and ABUDU, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Daniel F. Daly, appointed counsel for Durrell Marquis Lee in these consolidated criminal appeals, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967).¹ Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeals is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motions to withdraw are **GRANTED**, and Lee's convictions, revocation of supervised release, and sentences are **AFFIRMED**.

¹ Daly alternatively moves for the Court to appoint Lee counsel or to allow him to file a supplemental brief pro se. Because we grant Daly's motion to withdraw and affirm Lee's convictions and sentences, we deny these requests as moot.