

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 24-12888

CURTIS DAVIS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

DERELL HARRIS,

SHANE HUMPHRIES,

DEREK JOHNSON,

TABITHA SMITH,

HEATHER LENTZ, et al.,

Intervenor Plaintiffs-Appellants,

versus

MAR-JAC POULTRY AL, LLC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 6:18-cv-01433-LSC

Before KIDD, DUBINA, and WILSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

We previously narrowed this appeal to two issues: The Intervenor-Plaintiffs’ challenge to the denial of Rule 23(b)(3) class certification and Plaintiff Curtis Davis’s challenge to the partial denial of his fee motion.

We have considered all arguments raised on appeal, including that:

- I. The district court abused its discretion in denying Rule 23(b)(3) class certification based on its findings that the predominance requirement was not satisfied and that the *Teamsters* burden-shifting framework did not apply. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3); *Int’l Brotherhood of Teamsters v. United States*, 431 U.S. 324 (1977).
- II. The district court abused its discretion in determining the amount of attorney fees and costs awarded to Davis.

After careful consideration of the record and the parties’ briefs, we find no reversible error in the district court’s proceedings. Accordingly, we affirm.

AFFIRMED.