[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the

## United States Court of Appeals

For the Fleventh Circuit

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 24-12644

Non-Argument Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

AMERIHOME MORGAGE CO.,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

MARCUS DANIEL MARCHMAN, and or party or parties in Possession,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia D.C. Docket No. 1:24-cv-03255-ELR

\_\_\_\_\_

## Opinion of the Court

24-12644

Before ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, and ABUDU, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:

2

This appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. Marcus Marchman appeals from the district court's July 24, 2024, order remanding the action to state court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).

We lack jurisdiction over this appeal because remand orders based on a lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to § 1447(c) are unreviewable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), (d); New v. Sports & Recreation, Inc., 114 F.3d 1092, 1095-96 (11th Cir. 1997) (explaining that a remand order for lack of subject matter jurisdiction is unreviewable even if it is clearly erroneous); Kircher v. Putnam Funds Tr., 547 U.S. 633, 642 (2006). The court's remand order is not otherwise appealable because Marchman did not remove the action from state court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442 or 1443. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442, 1443, 1447(d); BP P.L.C. v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, 593 U.S. 230, 238 (2021) (explaining that, to remove a case under §§ 1442 or 1443, the notice of removal must assert that the case is removable under one of those provisions).

No petition for rehearing may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R. 40-3 and all other applicable rules.