[DO NOT PUBLISH]

## In the

## United States Court of Appeals

For the Fleventh Circuit

No. 24-11697

Non-Argument Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

In re: DON KARL JURAVIN,

Debtor.

\_\_\_\_\_

DON KARL JURAVIN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

BELLA COLLINA PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., CONSUMER OPINION CORP.,

Opinion of the Court

24-11697

Defendants-Appellees.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida D.C. Docket No. 5:24-cv-00175-GAP

\_\_\_\_\_

Before JORDAN, JILL PRYOR, and NEWSOM, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:

2

This appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. Don Karl Juravin, *pro se*, appeals from the district court's order dismissing his appeal from an order of the bankruptcy court. In its dismissal order, the district court effectively declined, for the second time, to exercise jurisdiction over Juravin's interlocutory appeal from a non-final order of the bankruptcy court. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 158(a)(3) (providing that a district court may, in its discretion, review interlocutory judgments and orders of a bankruptcy court). Thus, we lack jurisdiction to review the district court's dismissal order. *See Mich. State Univ. v. Asbestos Settlement Tr. (In re Celotex Corp.)*, 700 F.3d 1262, 1265 & n.4 (11th Cir. 2012) (holding that we lack jurisdiction to consider an appeal from a district court's order declining to exercise jurisdiction over an interlocutory appeal from a bankruptcy court order).

24-11697 Opinion of the Court

All pending motions are DENIED as moot. No petition for rehearing may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R. 40-3 and all other applicable rules.

3