[DO NOT PUBLISH]

## In the

## United States Court of Appeals

For the Fleventh Circuit

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 24-10977

Non-Argument Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

BRANDON PAYNE, a.k.a. Red, a.k.a. Nephew,

Defendant-Appellant.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia

## Opinion of the Court

2

24-10977

D.C. Docket No. 3:21-cr-00039-TES-CHW-5

\_\_\_\_\_

Before NEWSOM, GRANT, and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:

Markus Boenig, appointed counsel for Brandon Payne in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Payne's conviction and sentence are **AFFIRMED**.