

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 23-13934

Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

QUADARIOUS JAQUAN THOMAS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 3:23-cr-00193-ECM-CWB-1

Before JORDAN, GRANT, and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Quadarious Thomas pleaded guilty to one count of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). On appeal, he argues that the district court erred by imposing a four-level sentencing enhancement for use or possession of a firearm or ammunition in connection with another felony offense under U.S. Sentencing Guidelines § 2K2.1(b)(6)(B). We need not determine whether the enhancement was erroneous, however—when handing down the sentence, the district court stated that even if it had sustained Thomas’s objection to the enhancement, it would have varied upward based on the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) sentencing factors to impose the same sentence it did. Because we conclude that the sentence imposed would have been substantively reasonable even if the objection had been sustained, the district court’s statement means that any error with respect to the Guideline enhancement was harmless at worst. *See United States v. Keene*, 470 F.3d 1347, 1348–49 (11th Cir. 2006).

AFFIRMED.