

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 23-12267

Non-Argument Calendar

AIN JEEM, INC.,

Plaintiff-Counter Defendant-Appellee,

versus

THE INDIVIDUALS, PARTNERSHIPS, AND
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS
IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE A, et al.,

Defendants,

HALL OF FAME SPORTS MEMORABILIA, INC., et al.,

2

Opinion of the Court

23-12267

Defendants-Counter Claimants,

AKERMAN LLP ALEJANDRO J. FERNANDEZ, et al.,

Counter Defendants,

CARL ELLEN PUCKETT, JR.,

Defendant-Counter Claimant

Cross Claimant-Appellant,

MARCELLA ANDERSON PUCKETT,

Cross Claimant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 8:21-cv-01331-VMC-AEP

Before JILL PRYOR, BRASHER, and ABUDU, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

23-12267

Opinion of the Court

3

Carl and Marcella Puckett, proceeding *pro se*, appeal from the district court's June 23 and 28, 2023 orders denying their motions for reconsideration. However, those orders were not final and appealable because claims asserted between the plaintiff and defendant Hall of Fame Sports Memorabilia, Inc. remained pending at the time that the Pucketts appealed. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291; *Supreme Fuels Trading FZE v. Sargeant*, 689 F.3d 1244, 1245-46 (11th Cir. 2012); *Bogle v. Orange Cnty. Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs*, 162 F.3d 653, 661 (11th Cir. 1998) (providing that a notice of appeal is ineffective to appeal a future order or judgment that does not exist at the time the notice of appeal is filed); *Robinson v. Tanner*, 798 F.2d 1378, 1382-83 (11th Cir. 1986) (providing that an appeal from an interlocutory order generally is not cured by the subsequent entry of final judgment).

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction. All pending motions are DENIED as moot.