

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 22-13632

VINITHA R. ROBINSON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

HABERSHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS,

Defendant,

PHILLIP SUTTON,

Individual Capacity,

HABERSHAM COUNTY,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 2:20-cv-00108-SCJ

Before JORDAN, JILL PRYOR, and KIDD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Plaintiff-Appellant Vinitha Robinson appeals the district court's grant of summary judgment to Phillip Sutton and Habersham County, Georgia, on her claim alleging race discrimination in violation of Title VII.¹

We have considered all arguments raised on appeal by Robinson, including that:

- I. The district court erred in granting the defendants summary judgment based on its findings that Robinson's proposed comparators were not, in fact, similarly situated and that no evidence indicated that the reasons given for refusing Robinson a raise and title change were pretextual.
- II. Robinson presented enough circumstantial evidence to provide a "convincing mosaic" of discrimination sufficient to survive summary judgment.
- III. The district court abused its discretion by failing to strike Sutton's submitted declaration because it contradicted his deposition testimony.

After careful consideration of the record and the parties' briefs, and with the benefit of oral argument, we find no reversible error in the district court's proceedings. Accordingly, we affirm.

¹ Robinson has been superbly represented on appeal by Jason Carlton and Madeline Martin, both students in the Appellate Advocacy Clinic at the University of Alabama School of Law. We thank Mr. Carlton and Ms. Martin for their service, not only to their client but also to the Court.

22-13632

Opinion of the Court

3

AFFIRMED.