

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 22-13004

Non-Argument Calendar

STACY TERRY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

MATTHEW W. ROBINETT,
in official and personal capacity,
J.R. GAINES,
in official and personal capacity,
AMANDA BESHEAR COOK,
in official and personal capacity,
C. MARK BAIN,
in official and personal capacity,
JOHNNY HARDWICK,

2

Opinion of the Court

22-13004

Judge, official and personal capacity, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees,

THE PHOENIX INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 2:20-cv-01058-RAH-SMD

Before ROSENBAUM, LAGOA, and BRASHER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Stacy Terry, proceeding *pro se*, appeals from the district court's order dismissing her second amended complaint as an impermissible shotgun pleading and for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Because Terry makes no arguments in her opening brief related to these two reasons that the district court gave for dismissing her second amended complaint, Terry has abandoned any challenge to those dispositive rulings by the district court. *See Timson v. Sampson*, 518 F.3d 870, 874 (11th Cir. 2008) (“[I]ssues not briefed

22-13004

Opinion of the Court

3

on appeal by a *pro se* litigant are deemed abandoned.”). Terry’s failure to challenge the district court’s dispositive rulings necessitates that we affirm the district court’s judgment. *See Sapuppo v. Allstate Floridian Ins. Co.*, 739 F.3d 678, 680 (11th Cir. 2014) (“When an appellant fails to challenge properly on appeal one of the grounds on which the district court based its judgment, he is deemed to have abandoned any challenge of that ground, and it follows that the judgment is due to be affirmed.”). Accordingly, the district court is **AFFIRMED**.