

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 22-10781

Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

KLONDE DELVIUM HATTER,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 2:19-cr-00466-ACA-JHE-7

2

Opinion of the Court

22-10781

No. 22-10784

Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

KLONDE DELVIUM HATTER,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 2:21-cr-00131-ACA-JHE-2

Before WILSON, JILL PRYOR and LUCK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

William K. Bradford, appointed counsel for Klonde Hatter, in these consolidated direct criminal appeals, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief

22-10781

Opinion of the Court

3

pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeals is correct. Because independent examinations of both of the entire records reveal no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Hatter's convictions and sentences are **AFFIRMED**.