[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the

## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 22-10282

Non-Argument Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CLARENCE EUGENE ROBINSON,

Defendant-Appellant.

\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida D.C. Docket No. 3:08-cr-00205-TJC-JK-1

\_\_\_\_\_

Opinion of the Court

22-10282

Before JILL PRYOR, LUCK, and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:

2

Adam Labonte, appointed counsel for Clarence Robinson in this appeal from the denial of his motion for compassionate release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), as modified by § 603(b) of the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. 115-391, 132 Stat. 5194 ("First Step Act"), has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the denial of Robinson's motion is **AFFIRMED**.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We decline counsel's invitation to adopt the position of other Circuit Courts of Appeals regarding the applicability of *Anders* to the denial of motions under the First Step Act.