[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the

## United States Court of Appeals

For the Fleventh Circuit

No. 21-13722

Non-Argument Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

MIKEL WAYNE NUNNALLY,

Defendant-Appellant.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida D.C. Docket No. 6:21-cr-00007-PGB-GJK-1

\_\_\_\_\_

USCA11 Case: 21-13722 Date Filed: 06/13/2022 Page: 2 of 2

## Opinion of the Court

2

21-13722

Before JORDAN, NEWSOM, and LUCK, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:

Stephen Langs, appointed counsel for Mikel Nunnally in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Nunnally's convictions and sentences are **AFFIRMED**.