

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 21-11654

Non-Argument Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

*versus*

ELIZABETH KORCZ, MD,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Alabama

D.C. Docket No. 2:19-cr-00193-RDP-JHE-1

---

Before NEWSOM, LAGOA, and BRASHER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

P. Russell Steen, counsel for Elizabeth Korcz in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Korcz's conviction and sentences are **AFFIRMED**.