

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 21-10249

Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

BRANDON WILMOT REAMES,

Defendant-Appellant.

2

Opinion of the Court

21-10249

No. 21-10250

Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

BRANDON WILMOT REAMES,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Alabama

D.C. Docket Nos. 1:18-cr-00341-RDP-GMB-1

2:19-cr-00557-RDP-GMB-1

Before ROSENBAUM, GRANT, and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

21-10249

Opinion of the Court

3

Wilson F. Green, appointed counsel for Brandon Wilmot Reames in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Reames's convictions and sentences are **AFFIRMED**.