

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 20-11278  
Non-Argument Calendar

---

D.C. Docket No. 1:20-cv-00244-AT

RICKY LAMAR HOGAN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

THE STATE OF GEORGIA,  
a governmental municipality corporation  
continuous criminal enterprise through  
Racketeering activities, being sued individually and  
within its official capacity as a state of Georgia,  
Government Municipality Corporation and etc.,  
MEADOWS REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER,  
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
DR. JOSEPH PAUL GILES, MD,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Georgia

---

(July 20, 2020)

Before WILLIAM PRYOR, Chief Judge, GRANT and LUCK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Ricky Hogan, a Georgia prisoner, appeals *pro se* the *sua sponte* dismissal of his complaint that the State of Georgia and over 30 public officials and employees conspired to implant an experimental tracking device in his body in violation of the Eighth Amendment. 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Hogan argues that the district court abused its discretion when it denied his motion for a preliminary injunction without an evidentiary hearing, failed to grant him leave to amend his complaint, and dismissed his complaint as frivolous. We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion. Hogan alleged no facts that would support his “wildly implausible” claim that he had been implanted with an experimental tracking device or that the defendants had conspired to implant such a device. *Miller v. Donald*, 541 F.3d 1091, 1100 (11th Cir. 2008). Hogan’s complaint of a vast conspiracy to engage in illegal medical experimentation is patently frivolous. The district court committed no error when it denied Hogan’s

motion for a preliminary injunction without an evidentiary hearing and dismissed his complaint without granting him leave to amend.

**AFFIRMED.**