

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 19-13510

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

*versus*

LENARD ROY GIBBS,  
a.k.a. Danger,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Georgia  
D.C. Docket No. 1:17-cr-00207-CAP-CMS-1

---

Before WILSON, BRANCH, and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This court has considered all of Defendant-Appellant Lenard Roy Gibbs's specifications of error, including:

1. Whether district court abused its discretion by admitting testimony that a witness who identified Gibbs as the robber was a probation officer.
2. Whether the district court abused its discretion by admitting expert testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 and *Daubert*.
3. Whether the district court abused its discretion by failing to declare a mistrial after the foreperson brought a textbook to jury deliberations.
4. Whether the district court abused its discretion by admitting the out-of-court identifications of Gibbs as the robber.
5. Whether the district court erred by finding that "more than sufficient" probable cause supported Gibbs's arrest.
6. Whether the district court erred when it gave jury instructions.
7. Whether there was cumulative error.

After review and consideration of the briefs and the record, and with the benefit of oral argument, we find no reversible error

19-13510 Opinion of the Court 3

in the district court's proceedings. Therefore, the district court's decisions are affirmed.

**AFFIRMED.**