Case: 18-10457 Date Filed: 04/29/2019 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 18-10457 Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 2:96-cv-00232-JES-CM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

MARK FEINSTEIN, ERIC FEINSTEIN,

Defendants-Appellants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida

(April 29, 2019)

Before BRANCH, GRANT, and JULIE CARNES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Mark and Eric Feinstein's appeal is **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction.

The magistrate judge's January 4, 2018 order, as clarified on April 13, 2018, is not

Case: 18-10457 Date Filed: 04/29/2019 Page: 2 of 2

final and appealable because it merely allowed post-judgment discovery to proceed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1291; *Rouse Constr. Int'l, Inc. v. Rouse Constr. Corp.*, 680 F.2d 743, 746 (11th Cir. 1982) ("[T]he granting of a post-judgment discovery motion is not an appealable final order.").