Case: 17-13927 Date Filed: 02/08/2019 Page: 1 of 3

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-13927 Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket Nos. 0:16-cv-61325-RNS, 0:12-cr-60294-RNS-1

GREGORY RICHARDSON,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

(February 8, 2019)

Before WILLIAM PRYOR, ROSENBAUM and GRANT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Gregory Richardson, a federal prisoner, appeals the denial of his motion to vacate his sentence. 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Richardson sought to vacate his conviction

Case: 17-13927 Date Filed: 02/08/2019 Page: 2 of 3

for brandishing a firearm during a bank robbery, 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(ii), on the grounds that the residual clause in the definition of "crime of violence" in section 924(c), *see id.* § 924(c)(3)(B), is void for vagueness after *Johnson v. United States*, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), and that bank robbery, 18 U.S.C. § 2113(a), does not satisfy the elements clause of section 924(c), *id.* § 924(c)(3)(A). The district court ruled that bank robbery qualified as a predicate offense under the elements clause regardless of any effect that *Johnson* had on section 924(c). We affirm.

Richardson's challenge to his sentence is foreclosed by our precedents. We held in *In re Sams*, 830 F.3d 1234, 1239 (11th Cir. 2016), "that a bank robbery conviction under § 2113(a) by force and violence or by intimidation qualifies as a crime of violence under the § 924(c)(3)(A) use-of-force clause." Richardson argues that Sams adjudicated an application for leave to file a successive motion to vacate and is not precedential in his collateral proceeding. But we held in *United States v*. St. Hubert, 909 F.3d 335 (11th Cir. 2018), "that law established in published threejudge orders issued pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b) in the context of applications for leave to file second or successive § 2255 motions is binding precedent on all subsequent panels of this Court, including those reviewing direct appeals and collateral attacks, unless and until it is overruled or undermined to the point of abrogation by the Supreme Court or by this court sitting en banc." Id. at 346 (alteration adopted and internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

Case: 17-13927 Date Filed: 02/08/2019 Page: 3 of 3

Richardson's predicate offense of bank robbery is categorically a crime of violence under the elements clause of section 924(c).

We AFFIRM Richardson's conviction and sentence.