Case: 15-13278 Date Filed: 05/02/2016 Page: 1 of 4

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
No. 15-13278 Non-Argument Calendar
D.C. Docket No. 1:10-cr-20661-PCH-2
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Appellee,
versus
JORGE BONILLA MESA,
Defendant-Appellant.
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
(May 2, 2016)
Before HULL, JORDAN and JULIE CARNES, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:

Case: 15-13278 Date Filed: 05/02/2016 Page: 2 of 4

Jorge Bonilla Mesa is a federal prisoner serving a total 240-month sentence for conspiring and attempting to possess with intent to distribute cocaine (Counts 1 and 2), conspiring and attempting to commit Hobbs Act robbery (Counts 3 and 4), and carrying a short-barreled shotgun during and in relation to a crime of violence and drug trafficking crime (Count 5). Mesa <u>pro se</u> appeals the district court's denial of his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) motion to reduce his concurrent 120-month sentences on Counts 1 through 4. On appeal, Mesa argues that he is eligible for a sentence reduction on these four counts based on Amendment 782 to the Sentencing Guidelines. After review, we affirm. 2

Under § 3582(c)(2), a district court may reduce a defendant's term of imprisonment if the defendant was sentenced based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Any reduction, however, must be consistent with the Sentencing Commission's policy statements. Id. A reduction is not consistent with the Sentencing Commission's policy statements and thus is not authorized if the retroactive amendment does not actually lower the defendant's applicable guidelines range "because of the operation of another guideline or statutory

¹Mesa concedes that his § 3582(c)(2) motion based on Amendment 782 did not implicate his mandatory, consecutive 120-month sentence on Count 5, his firearm offense, which was imposed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

²"We review <u>de novo</u> a district court's conclusions about the scope of its legal authority under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)." <u>United States v. Jones</u>, 548 F.3d 1366, 1368 (11th Cir. 2008).

Case: 15-13278 Date Filed: 05/02/2016 Page: 3 of 4

provision" such as a statutory mandatory minimum prison term. U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(a)(2)(B) & cmt. n.1(A); <u>United States v. Berry</u>, 701 F.3d 374, 376 (11th Cir. 2012); <u>United States v. Mills</u>, 613 F.3d 1070, 1077-78 (11th Cir. 2010).

Here, the district court properly denied Mesa's § 3582(c)(2) motion because Amendment 782 did not actually lower his applicable guidelines range. At Mesa's original 2010 sentencing, the district court grouped Counts 1 through 4 together and, based on 14 kilograms of cocaine attributable to Mesa, calculated a base offense level was 32, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c)(4) (2010). After several adjustments, Mesa's total offense level was 31 and his criminal history category was I, which yielded an advisory guidelines range 108 to 135 months' imprisonment. However, Mesa was subject to a ten-year statutory mandatory minimum on Counts 1 and 2. See 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A)(ii). Therefore, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5G1.1(c), Mesa's advisory guidelines range became 120 to 135 months, and the district court imposed concurrent 120-month sentences on all four counts.

In 2014, the Sentencing Commission promulgated Amendment 782, which reduced by two levels the base offense levels for most drug quantities in U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c). See U.S.S.G. app. C, amend. 782. After Amendment 782, the base offense level for Mesa's drug quantity of 14 kilograms of cocaine is 30, rather than 32. See U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c)(5) (2015). Holding all other sentencing

Case: 15-13278 Date Filed: 05/02/2016 Page: 4 of 4

determinations the same, Mesa's total offense level would be 29 and his initial guidelines range would be 87 to 108 months. See U.S.S.G. ch. 5, pt. A, Sentencing Table. However, by operation of U.S.S.G. § 5G1.1(b) and the ten-year mandatory minimum in 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A)(ii), Mesa's advisory guidelines range would become 120 months. See U.S.S.G. § 5G1.1(b) (providing that the mandatory minimum sentence is the guidelines sentence when it is greater than the high end of the otherwise applicable guidelines range). Thus, while Amendment 782 lowered Mesa's base offense level, it did not lower his advisory guidelines range. Accordingly, the district court was not authorized under § 3582(c)(2) to reduce Mesa's sentence.

AFFIRMED.