Case: 14-10211 Date Filed: 06/17/2014 Page: 1 of 8

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT No. 14-10211 Non-Argument Calendar D.C. Docket Nos. 4:11-cv-00055-RH-GRJ 4:09-cr-00025-RH-GRJ-1 TONY EDWARD DENSON, Petitioner-Appellant, versus UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent-Appellee. Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida (June 17, 2014)

Case: 14-10211 Date Filed: 06/17/2014 Page: 2 of 8

Before TJOFLAT, HULL and FAY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Tony Denson, a <u>pro se</u> federal prisoner, appeals the district court's denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence. The district court granted a certificate of appealability ("COA") on the issue of whether Denson's attorney rendered ineffective assistance at sentencing by failing to object to treating Denson's Florida conviction for possession of a short-barreled shotgun, in violation of Florida Statute § 790.221(1), as a "crime of violence" for career offender guidelines calculations under U.S.S.G. §§ 4B1.1 and 4B1.2.¹ After review, we affirm the district court's denial of Denson's § 2255 motion.

I. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE CLAIMS

To prevail on an ineffective assistance of counsel claim, Denson has the burden to show that: (1) his counsel's performance was deficient; and (2) he suffered prejudice as a result of the deficient performance. Strickland v.

Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 687, 104 S. Ct. 2052, 2064 (1984). "In a 28 U.S.C. \$ 2255 proceeding, we review a district court's legal conclusions de novo and factual findings for clear error." Devine v. United States, 520 F.3d 1286, 1287 (11th Cir. 2008). Whether counsel rendered ineffective assistance is a mixed

¹Denson also argues the underlying substantive issue that the sentencing court wrongly applied U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1's career offender enhancement. Because this issue is outside the scope of the COA, we do not address it. See Murray v. United States, 145 F.3d 1249, 1250 (11th 1998).

Case: 14-10211 Date Filed: 06/17/2014 Page: 3 of 8

question of law and fact that we review <u>de novo</u>. <u>Id.</u> Here, the district court correctly denied Denson's ineffective assistance claim because he did not establish either deficient performance or prejudice. We explain why.

II. CAREER OFFENDER GUIDELINES

Denson's ineffective assistance claim hinges on his counsel's failure to object to the district court's designating him a career offender under U.S.S.G. §§ 4B1.1 and 4B1.2. Under § 4B1.1, a defendant qualifies as a career offender if he "has at least two prior felony convictions of either a crime of violence or a controlled substance offense." U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1(a). A "crime of violence" means an offense punishable by one year in prison that either "has as an element the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person of another," or "is a burglary of a dwelling, arson, or extortion, involves use of explosives, or otherwise involves conduct that presents a serious potential risk for physical injury to another." Id. § 4B1.2(a).

The commentary to § 4B1.2 explicitly states that "unlawfully possessing a firearm described in 26 U.S.C. § 5845(a)," such as a "sawed-off shotgun" is a crime of violence. <u>Id.</u> § 4B1.2, cmt. n.1. Because this guidelines commentary is authoritative and binding, possession of such a firearm qualifies as a "crime of violence" without resort to the "categorical approach" traditionally used to determine whether an offense falls within the residual clause of U.S.S.G.

Case: 14-10211 Date Filed: 06/17/2014 Page: 4 of 8

§ 4B1.2(a)(2). <u>United States v. Hall</u>, 714 F.3d 1270, 1274 (11th Cir. 2013) (concluding that possession of an unregistered sawed-off shotgun, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 5861(d), qualifies as a "crime of violence" under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(a)(2) based on the binding guidelines commentary).

At the time of Denson's predicate offense, Florida's definition of "short-barreled shotgun" was virtually identical to the federal definition of "sawed-off shotgun" in 26 U.S.C. § 5845(a), referenced in the guidelines commentary.

Compare 26 U.S.C. § 5845(a)(1)-(2), with Fla. Stat. Ann. § 790.001(10) (1992). In other words, a "short-barreled shotgun" under the Florida law was, for the purposes of § 4B1.2, a "firearm described in 26 U.S.C. § 5845(a)," and, according to the binding commentary, unlawful possession of such a firearm qualifies as a crime of violence.

III. PERFORMANCE

As to deficient performance, Denson is unable to show that his "counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness." See Strickland, 466 U.S at 687-88, 104 S. Ct. at 2064. This is so because an objection to classifying Denson's Florida short-barreled shotgun offense as a crime of violence would have run counter to the express and authoritative language of the guidelines commentary and thus would have been meritless. Failing to make a meritless objection does not constitute deficient performance. See Freeman v. Att'y Gen.,

Case: 14-10211 Date Filed: 06/17/2014 Page: 5 of 8

536 F.3d 1225, 1233 (11th Cir. 2008) ("A lawyer cannot be deficient for failing to raise a meritless claim" (citation omitted)).

IV. BEGAY V. UNITED STATES

In an effort to show his counsel's performance nevertheless was objectively unreasonable, Denson points to Begay v. United States, 553 U.S. 137, 128 S. Ct. 1581 (2008), and to this Court's application of Begay in United States v. McGill, 618 F.3d 1273 (11th Cir. 2010). Begay was decided about a year before Denson was sentenced. In Begay, the Supreme Court concluded that a New Mexico driving under the influence offense was not a "violent felony" under the residual clause of the Armed Career Criminal Act ("ACCA"), 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)(2)(B)(ii). 553 U.S. at 141-42, 128 S. Ct. at 1584. The ACCA's residual clause defines the phrase "violent felony" using language virtually identical to U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2's residual clause defining a "crime of violence." Compare 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)(2)(B)(ii), with U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(a)(2). Begay explained that an offense that "involves conduct that presents a serious risk of physical injury to another" is a "violent felony" under the ACCA's residual clause only if it is "roughly similar, in kind as well as in degree of risk posed" to the ACCA's enumerated crimes, burglary of a dwelling, arson, extortion, or unlawful use of explosives. Id. at 143, 128 S. Ct. at 1585.

Case: 14-10211 Date Filed: 06/17/2014 Page: 6 of 8

McGill was decided almost a year after Denson's sentencing and involved whether the same Florida offense at issue here—possession of a short-barreled shotgun—was a "violent felony" under the ACCA's residual clause. See McGill, 618 F.3d at 1274. In McGill, this Court applied Begay and determined that, while possession of a short-barreled shotgun presented a serious risk of physical injury to another, the risk was "not 'similar in kind' to 'use of explosives,' its closest enumerated analog" in the ACCA. Id. at 1277. Thus, Florida's short-barreled shotgun offense is not a "violent felony" under the ACCA. Id. at 1279.

Denson maintains these cases show that his Florida short-barreled shotgun offense should not have been deemed a "crime of violence," and, had his counsel made a <u>Begay</u>-like objection, the sentencing court would not have designated Denson a career offender under the guidelines.

Denson's argument is foreclosed by our recent precedent in <u>United States v.</u>

<u>Hall.</u> Like Denson, the defendant in <u>Hall</u> relied upon <u>Begay</u> and <u>McGill</u> to argue that "the analysis for the term 'crime of violence' is exactly the same because the two definitions are virtually identical" and, therefore, possession of a short-barreled shotgun cannot be a crime of violence under the career-offender guideline.

<u>Hall</u> 714 F.3d at 1273. This Court rejected that argument based on <u>United States v.</u>

<u>Stinson</u>, in which the Supreme Court "made clear that 'commentary in the Sentencing Guidelines Manual that interprets or explains a guideline is

Case: 14-10211 Date Filed: 06/17/2014 Page: 7 of 8

authoritative unless it violates the Constitution or a federal statute, or is inconsistent with, or a plainly erroneous reading of, that guideline." Id. at 1272 (quoting Stinson, 508 U.S. 36, 38, 113 S. Ct. 1913, 1915 (1993)) (brackets omitted). In Hall, this Court concluded that because "Stinson controls," and the guidelines commentary designating the possession of a short-barreled shotgun as a crime of violence is authoritative and binding, the usual "categorical approach" used in Begay and McGill to determine if an offense falls within the residual clause does not apply. Id. at 1274. We further determined that the commentary did not fall within "Stinson's stringent exception requirements, as the commentary provision violates neither the Constitution nor any other federal statute, and it is not inconsistent with, or a plainly erroneous reading of, the guideline text itself." Id.

Although Denson attempts to distinguish <u>Hall</u> on its facts, <u>Hall</u>'s legal conclusion—as to the binding effect of U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2's commentary—controls the outcome of Denson's ineffective assistance claim. We also reject Denson's argument that <u>Hall</u> was undermined to the point of abrogation by <u>Descamps v. United States</u>, 570 U.S. _____, 133 S. Ct. 2276 (2013). Contrary to Denson's contention, <u>Hall</u> did not employ the modified categorical approach addressed in <u>Descamps</u>, and this panel remains bound by <u>Hall</u>. In any event, to the extent Denson contends that Hall is wrongly decided, reasonably effective representation

Case: 14-10211 Date Filed: 06/17/2014 Page: 8 of 8

does not include a requirement that trial counsel make arguments or objections based on predictions as to how the law may develop. See Marquard v. Sec'y for Dep't of Corrs., 429 F.3d 1278, 1313 (11th Cir. 2005) (explaining that counsel's performance was not ineffective for failing to contemplate a future Supreme Court decision).

V. PREJUDICE

Denson also failed to show prejudice under <u>Strickland</u>. To prove prejudice, "[t]he defendant must show that there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's unprofessional errors, the result of the proceeding would have been different." <u>Strickland</u>, 466 U.S. at 694, 104 S. Ct. at 2068. Because Florida's offense of possession of a short-barreled shotgun is a "crime of violence" under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2's binding commentary, Denson has not shown that any objection by defense counsel to Denson's career offender status would have been likely to change the outcome of his sentencing.

For these reasons, the district court properly denied Denson's § 2255 claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.

AFFIRMED.