Case: 13-13485 Date Filed: 02/26/2014 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE	ELEVENTH	CIRCUIT

No. 13-13485 Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 1:13-cr-20067-JIC-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

RICHARD MARCEL MAZARD, a.k.a. Richard Mazar, a.k.a. Richard M. Mazard,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

(February 26, 2014)

Before WILSON, PRYOR and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Case: 13-13485 Date Filed: 02/26/2014 Page: 2 of 2

Richard Marcel Mazard appeals his sentence of 30 months of imprisonment following his plea of guilty to robbery. See 18 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Mazard argues that his sentence at the low end of the advisory guideline range is substantively unreasonable. We affirm.

Mazard invited any error involving the reasonableness of his sentence. "It is a cardinal rule of appellate review that a party may not challenge as error a ruling or other trial proceeding invited by that party." <u>United States v. Love</u>, 449 F.3d 1154, 1157 (11th Cir. 2006) (quoting <u>United States v. Ross</u>, 131 F.3d 970, 988 (11th Cir. 1997)). At his sentencing hearing, Mazard "ask[ed] for, at a maximum, . . . [a sentence of] 30 months" and later argued that "30 months . . . [was] very significant, a long period of time . . . but appropriate in this case." Mazard is barred from challenging the reasonableness of a sentence at the low end of the guideline range that he requested.

We **AFFIRM** Mazard's sentence.