Case: 11-14812 Date Filed: 07/19/2012 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-14812 Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket Nos. 1:10-cv-21899-ASG; 1:07cr-20224-ASG-1

MIGUEL BEATO,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

(July 19, 2012)

Before MARCUS, MARTIN and EDMONDSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Miguel Beato appeals the denial of his motion to vacate under 28 U.S.C.

Case: 11-14812 Date Filed: 07/19/2012 Page: 2 of 2

§ 2255. The sole question before us is whether, under the principles of <u>Teague v. Lane</u>, 489 U.S. 288, 109 S. Ct. 1060 (1989), the Supreme Court's decision in <u>Padilla v. Kentucky</u>, ____ U.S. ____, 130 S. Ct. 1473 (2010), is retroactively applicable on collateral review, such that Beato's motion to vacate is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 2255(f)(3). According to Beato, the Supreme Court in <u>Padilla</u> established a new and "watershed" rule of criminal procedure. <u>Teague</u>, 489 U.S. at 311, 109 S. Ct. at 1076. Our recent decision in <u>Figuereo-Sanchez v. United</u> <u>States</u>, No. 10-14235, ____ F.3d ____, 2012 WL 1499871 (11th Cir. May 1, 2012), forecloses this argument. <u>See id.</u> at *3–6. We therefore affirm the judgment of the district court.

AFFIRMED.