[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

| FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUI | FILED |
|--|-----------------------|
| | U.S. COURT OF APPEALS |
| | ELEVENTH CIRCUIT |
| No. 11-13376 | JANUARY 12, 2012 |
| | JOHN LEY |
| Non-Argument Calendar | CLERK |
| | |
| D.C. Docket No. 9:11-cr-80005-KL | R-1 |
| | |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | |
| | Plaintiff-Appellee, |
| | rr |
| | |
| versus | |
| | |
| | |
| GARY L. FINKLEA, | |
| | Defendant Annallant |
| | Defendant-Appellant. |
| | |
| A annual forms the Harita I Chahan District | C |
| Appeal from the United States District for the Southern District of Florid | |
| for the Southern District of Florid | a |
| | |
| (January 12, 2012) | |
| Before WILSON, PRYOR and BLACK, Circuit Judges. | |
| PER CURIAM: | |
| | |
| | |

Gary Finklea appeals his sentence of 180 months of imprisonment following his plea of guilty to being a felon in possession of a firearm and ammunition. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Finklea argues that the district court violated his rights under the Fifth and Sixth Amendments by sentencing him as a career criminal based on prior convictions that were neither charged in his indictment nor admitted by him. Finklea's argument is foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224, 226–27, 118 S. Ct. 1219, 1222 (1998), and Finklea acknowledges that we are "bound to follow Almendarez-Torres unless and until the Supreme Court itself overrules that decision." United States v. Thomas, 242 F.3d 1028, 1035 (11th Cir. 2001).

We **AFFIRM** Finklea's sentence.