## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

F	OR	· T	H	$\mathbf{E}_{-}$	$\mathbf{E}$	Γ.	$\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{y}}$	V	$\mathbf{E}$	N	$\Gamma$ F	1	C	IF	?	$C^{-}$	IJ	IΊ	Γ

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCU	JIT
	FILED
No. 09-16143 Non-Argument Calendar	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JULY 23, 2010 JOHN LEY CLERK
D. C. Docket No. 09-00030-CR-5-0	001-RS
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
	Plaintiff-Appellee,
versus	
DARIO ESPINOSA, a.k.a. D, a.k.a. Dario Espinosa-Alvarez,	
	Defendant-Appellant.
	_
Appeal from the United States District of Flor	
(July 23, 2010)	
Before EDMONDSON, BIRCH and HILL, Circuit Judg	ges.

PER CURIAM:

Christopher Patterson, appointed counsel for Dario Espinosa in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Espinosa's convictions and sentences are **AFFIRMED**.