

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 09-15668  
\_\_\_\_\_

|   |
|---|
| FILED<br>U.S. COURT OF APPEALS<br>ELEVENTH CIRCUIT<br>DEC 14, 2010<br>JOHN LEY<br>CLERK |
|---|

D. C. Docket No. 07-01785 CV-ORL-31-KRS

MAHALA AULT, STACIE RHEA,  
DAN WALLACE, on their own behalf and  
on behalf of all other similarly situated,

Plaintiffs-Appellants

JERRY MILLER, DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES  
FOR TECHNOLOGY, JERRY KERRY, et al

Intervenor-Plaintiffs-Appellees,

versus

WALT DISNEY WORLD CO.,

Defendant-Appellee.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Florida  
\_\_\_\_\_

(December 14, 2010)

Before WILSON, PRYOR and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

After oral argument and careful consideration, we conclude for the reasons fully discussed at oral argument that the district court erred in concluding that the named plaintiffs lacked prudential standing. The interests sought to be protected by the named plaintiffs are arguably within the zone of interest protected by 42 U.S.C. § 12182.

Accordingly, we vacate the judgment of the district court and remand for further proceedings, including *inter alia* a determination as to whether the claims of the named plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the class and whether they are adequate representatives of the class.

VACATED and REMANDED.