

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 08-16831
Non-Argument Calendar

FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT SEPTEMBER 10, 2009 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK

D. C. Docket No. 07-22947-CV-ASG

LAVAN MAURICE WALKER,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

STATE OF FLORIDA,
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA,
Bill McCollum,
KATHERINE FERNANDEZ RUNDLE,

Respondents-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Florida

(September 10, 2009)

Before TJOFLAT, EDMONDSON and PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Lavan Maurice Walker, a federal prisoner, appeals pro se the dismissal of his petition for writ of habeas corpus. 28 U.S.C. § 2254. For a district court to exercise subject-matter jurisdiction over a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, the petitioner must be “in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” Id. §§ 2241(c)(3), 2254(a); accord Maleng v. Cook, 490 U.S. 488, 490–91, 109 S. Ct. 1923, 1925 (1989). Jurisdiction does not extend to a petitioner who challenges a conviction after his sentence has completely expired. White v. Butterworth, 70 F.3d 573, 574 (11th Cir. 1995). The district court lacked jurisdiction to review Walker’s petition because his sentences expired in 2002.

We **AFFIRM** the dismissal of Walker’s petition.