## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

| FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT   | FILED<br>U.S. COURT OF APPEALS                                   |
|--|--|
| No. 08-13010   | ELEVENTH CIRCUIT<br>FEBRUARY 17, 2009<br>THOMAS K. KAHN<br>CLERK |
| D. C. Docket No. 06-00687-CV-HTV   | V-1  |
| GERALD C. BUSHROE,   |  |
|  |  |
|  | Plaintiff-Appellant,   |
| versus   |  |
| FORD MOTOR COMPANY, INC.,  |  |
|  | Defendant-Appellee.  |
|  |  |
| Appeal from the United States District for the Northern District of Georgi |  |
| (February 17, 2009)  |  |
| Before BIRCH, HULL and FAY, Circuit Judges.                                |  |
| PER CURIAM:  |  |

After review and oral argument, the Court concludes that there are genuine issues of material fact as to whether the Plaintiff-Appellant Gerald C. Bushroe

gave sufficient notice to Defendant-Appellee Ford Motor Company, Inc. to make it aware that his absence from work was due to a potentially qualifying reason under the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"). See Cruz v. Publix Super Mkts., Inc., 428 F.3d 1379, 1382 (11th Cir. 2005) ("[W]here an employee's need for FMLA leave is unforeseeable, the employee need only provide her employer with notice sufficient to make the employer aware that her absence is due to a potentially FMLA-qualifying reason." (quoting Gay v. Gilman Paper Co., 125 F.3d 1432, 1436 (11th Cir. 1997))); 29 C.F.R. § 825.302(c) ("An employee shall provide at least verbal notice sufficient to make the employer aware that the employee needs FMLA-qualifying leave, and the anticipated timing and duration of the leave."). Accordingly, we reverse the district court's order granting summary judgment in favor of Defendant-Appellee Ford Motor Company, Inc. and remand for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

## REVERSED AND REMANDED.