IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR	THE ELEVENTH CIRCU	JIT
	No. 07-15845 Non-Argument Calendar	FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEAL ELEVENTH CIRCUIT AUGUST 14, 2008 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK
D. C. 1	Docket No. 05-20467-CR-	-PAS
UNITED STATES OF AMEI	RICA,	
		Plaintiff-Appellee,
	versus	
JULIO JOSEPH,		
	1	Defendant-Appellant.
	om the United States District of Flor	
	(August 14, 2008)	
Before BIRCH, DUBINA and	d CARNES, Circuit Judges	S.
PER CURIAM:		
Iulio Iosenh anneals hi	s 168-month sentence for r	nossession with intent to

distribute 500 grams or more of cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). Joseph contends that the district court erred by finding that his prior Florida conviction for carrying a concealed weapon was a violent felony for purposes of enhancing his sentence under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1 (Nov. 2004) because: (1) the offense was not inherently dangerous or violent; (2) in Florida, a person may carry a concealed weapon if he has a license; (3) the Florida statute does not require proof that the person intended to use the concealed weapon for violent purposes; and (4) carrying a concealed weapon is more like a felon-in-possession crime, which is not a violent crime, than the offenses enumerated in the career offender provision.

We review <u>de novo</u> the district court's application of the guidelines and its factfindings only for clear error. <u>United States v. Lee</u>, 427 F.3d 881, 892 (11th Cir. 2005). A defendant is classified as a career offender under § 4B1.1(a) if he has at least two prior felony convictions for crimes of violence. U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1(a). The term "crime of violence" is defined in § 4B1.2(a). In <u>United States v. Gilbert</u>, 138 F.3d 1371 (11th Cir. 1998), <u>abrogated by Begay v. United States</u>, ___U.S.____, 128 S. Ct. 1581 (2008), we held that "carrying a concealed weapon in violation of Florida law is a 'crime of violence' under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(1)." <u>Id.</u> at 1372. In

¹ The guideline we referred to as U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(1) in <u>Gilbert</u> was listed as § 4B1.2(a) under the 2004 version of the guidelines, which apply to the instant case.

June 2008, however, this Court decided that <u>Gilbert</u> had been abrogated by the Supreme Court's decision in <u>Begay</u> and held that the Florida offense of carrying a concealed weapon—Joseph's prior conviction at issue—was not a crime of violence under the guidelines. <u>United States v. Archer</u>, ___F.3d____, No. 07-11488, 2008 WL 2521969, at *1, *4 (11th Cir. June 26, 2008).

Joseph was sentenced as a career offender based on the district court's finding that his prior Florida conviction for carrying a concealed weapon was a crime of violence for the purposes of § 4B1.1(a). That finding was entirely consistent with the law of this circuit at the time. The law having changed, however, the sentence must be vacated and the case remanded for resentencing in light of our recent Archer decision.²

VACATED AND REMANDED.

² Joseph also contends that the district court violated his Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights by increasing his sentence based on a fact not admitted or found by the jury, and that his sentence was substantively unreasonable. However, because we have vacated Joseph's sentence, we need not address those issues.