IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
No. 06-14968 Non-Argument Calendar	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JUNE 15 2007 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK
D. C. Docket No. 04-00346-CR-1-	1
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
	Plaintiff-Appellee,
versus	
JOSIAH L. TRYON,	
	Defendant-Appellant.
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia	
(June 15, 2007)	
Before TJOFLAT, DUBINA and CARNES, Circuit Judges	s.
PER CURIAM:	
Following a bench trial on stipulated facts, the distric	ct court, in a

comprehensive twenty-eight page order, record, vol. 3, tab 108, found appellant guilty of all eighteen counts of the indictment which charged appellant in Counts 1 and 2 with knowing possession of two computer discs containing multiple video clips of child pornography, in violation of the Child Pornography Prevention Act (the "Act"), 18 U.S.C. § 2254A(a)(5)(B) and (b), and in Counts 3-18 with knowingly receiving child pornography transported in interstate and foreign commerce by any means, including a computer, in violation of the Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2254A(a)(2)(A) and (b)(1). After the district court sentenced appellant to concurrent prison terms of 120 months on Counts 1 and 2 and 135 months on Counts 3-18, appellant lodged this appeal.

Appellant contends that the district court erred in denying his motion to suppress statements he gave to law enforcement during a search of his home. Appellant says that he was "in custody" at the time and that the agents interviewed him without first informing him of his Miranda rights. The court found to the contrary in denying his motion to suppress. After the court ruled, and before the case came on for trial, appellant stipulated that the interview took place in a non-custodial setting. This stipulation renders meritless appellant's first contention.

Appellant's second point is that the Act constitutes an unconstitutional exercise by Congress of its Commerce Clause authority. His point is foreclosed by

binding precedent. Gonzalez v. Raich, 545 U.S. 1, 125 S.Ct. 2195, 162 L.Ed.2d 1 (2005); United States v. Maxwell, 446 F.3d 1210 (11th Cir. 2006), cert. denied, 127 S.Ct. 705 (2006).

AFFIRMED.