PUBLISH

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

		FILED
No. 95-8459		U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
D.C. Docket Nos.	1:91-CV-2399-ODE 1:91-CV-2400-ODE 1:91-CV-2401-ODE	09/25/98 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK

STACY C. GRAY, individually and as Surviving Spouse of Lt. Douglas G. Gray, and as Personal Representative of Lt. Douglas G. Gray, deceased,

Plaintiff-Appellee, Cross-Appellant,

versus

LOCKHEED AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS COMPANY, a division of Lockheed Corporation,

Defendant-Appellant, Cross-Appellee,

GRACE M. SCHUMACHER, individually and as Surviving Parent of Lt. John T. Hartman, and as Personal Representative of Lt. John Hartman, Deceased,

Plaintiff-Appellee, Cross-Appellant,

versus

LOCKHEED AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS COMPANY, a division of Lockheed Corporation,

Defendant-Appellant, Cross-Appellee,

WILMA J. JENNINGS, individually, and as Surviving Parent of Lt. David S. Jennings, and as Personal Representative of Lt. David S. Jennings, Deceased,

Plaintiff-Appellee, Cross-Appellant,

versus

LOCKHEED AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS COMPANY, a division of Lockheed Corporation,

Defendant-Appellant, Cross-Appellee,

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia

(September 25, 1998)

ON REMAND FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Before HATCHETT, Chief Judge, TJOFLAT, Circuit Judge, and CLARK, Senior Circuit Judge. PER CURIAM:

This case is before the court on remand from the United States Supreme Court for further consideration of our earlier opinion in light of <u>Dooley v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd.</u>, 118 S. Ct. 1890 (1998). In accord with the Court's opinion, we reverse our previous holding that appellees may recover damages for pain and suffering on their survival action claims based on general maritime law in conjunction with the Death on the High Seas Act, 46 U.S.C. App. §§ 761-768. <u>See Gray v. Lockheed Aeronautical Sys. Co.</u>, 125 F.3d 1371, 1381-86 (11th Cir. 1997). We affirm the remainder of our decision that does not touch such survival action claims, and remand to the district court for further proceedings consistent with our decision and <u>Dooley</u>.

REVERSED IN PART and REMANDED.

2