

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 23-14213

Non-Argument Calendar

---

SIRTAJ MATHAUDA,

Petitioner-Appellant,

*versus*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Florida  
D.C. Docket No. 1:18-cv-22057-JAL

---

Before BRANCH, LAGOA, and BRASHER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This appeal is DISMISSED, *sua sponte*, for lack of jurisdiction. Sirtaj Mathauda, proceeding *pro se*, appeals the district court's October 21, 2020, final order and judgment in his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 proceedings. Mathauda timely appealed that judgment in November 2020 and, in appeal number 20-14623, we denied a certificate of appealability.

Mathauda's appeal is duplicative and untimely. We may use our inherent administrative power to dismiss duplicative litigation to avoid wasting judicial resources. *Colo. River Water Conservation Dist. v. United States*, 424 U.S. 800, 817-18 (1976); *accord I.A. Durbin, Inc. v. Jefferson Nat'l Bank*, 793 F.2d 1541, 1551 (11th Cir. 1986). Another appeal of the § 2255 judgment would be duplicative. *See United States v. Arlt*, 567 F.2d 1295, 1297 (5th Cir. 1978) (noting that an appellant is not generally entitled to two appeals from the same judgment).

Further, the statutory time limit required Mathauda to file a notice of appeal on or before December 21, 2020, the first Monday following 60 days after the district court entered its judgment. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2107(b); Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B), 26(a)(1)(C). However, he did not file the instant notice of appeal until December 28, 2023, and thus, it cannot invoke our jurisdiction. *See Green v. Drug Enf't Admin.*, 606 F.3d 1296, 1300 (11th Cir. 2010).

23-14213

Opinion of the Court

3

All pending motions are DENIED as moot. No petition for rehearing may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R. 40-3 and all other applicable rules.