

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 22-11857

Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CHRISTOPHER JOHN STREETER,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Florida

D.C. Docket No. 8:20-cr-00304-VMC-CPT-1

Before ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, and GRANT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Thomas A. Burns, appointed counsel for Christopher John Streeter in this appeal following Streeter's conviction on one count of sex trafficking of a minor and his resultant life sentence, has filed a motion to withdraw on appeal. The motion is supported by a brief prepared pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issue of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Streeter's conviction and sentence are **AFFIRMED**.¹

¹ After Burns filed the *Anders* brief, Streeter filed, *pro se*, motions both to discharge Burns as counsel and to be appointed new appellate counsel. We conclude that both motions are meritless and warrant no further discussion, particularly given our decision to grant counsel's motion under *Anders* and affirm the district court.